

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127392
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of FYM, areca husk compost and coir pith compost on growth, yield and quality of baby corn (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Studying effect of Areca husk and coir pith compost on Baby corn along with FYM is worth for finding wise use of these two organic waste materials on growth , yield and quality. It is useful also for that area where these organic materials are available in huge quantity. Scientific community always favours researches which are beneficial for society. Here also aim is to improve quantity and quality of Baby corn.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title is suitable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is comprehensive.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Structure of manuscript is appropriate but table of data is missing.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Although study done is fairly correct but a comparative data (in the form of table) is not given.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are recent and suitable.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Experimental numerical data on plant height , Leaf area, Leaf area index, Length of babycorn, Girth of babycorn, Weight of babycorn, Husked yield of babycorn, Dehusked yield of babycorn and greenfodder yield have not been given.</li><li>2. Statistical analysis data has also not been given.</li><li>3. In quality parameters estimation methods of Crude protein content, Crude fibre and Starch content of cob are not mentioned.</li><li>4. Effect of coir pith compost is not discussed.</li><li>5. Reference of Table 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 is given in the text but Table 2,3,4 and 5 are missing in manuscript.</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shobha Johari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>L.B.S. Government College, India</b>