

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_126860
Title of the Manuscript:	Protective Effect of Annona muricata leaf extract against Electrolytes imbalance in Wistar rats induced with Acute myocardial infarction
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p>The article is well focused and clearly explains the protective effect of <i>Annona muricata</i> leaf extract against electrolytes imbalance in Wistar rats induced with Acute myocardial infarction. The study was thoroughly done and evaluated, the procedure and the method of evaluation were well explained with appropriate references. On the whole, the paper is enjoyable to read and the results obtained are discussed.</p> <p>However, some points are to be taken into consideration before accepting this paper</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language of the paper should be revised once as it contains minor errors in usage of words and spaces between words. 2. The authors should follow the SI system rules for the representation of units. For example 'hr' is not a standard unit representation for hour, instead they have to change it as 'h'; 'ml' to 'mL'; 'meq/l' to 'mEq/L' and 'LD50' to 'LD₅₀'. 3. The discussion part of this paper contains more introduction part. That is the ideas of other references should be included in the introduction part itself. The author should focus more on discussing their results obtained and can be compared with the previously reported one. <p>The ideology of using <i>Annona muricata</i> leaf extract against electrolytes imbalance is found to be an interesting topic. The authors are very clear in the procedural study and its importance. However, the manuscript requires a minor revision majorly on the discussion part before it gets published.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>M Gurulakshmi</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Madras Christian College, India</p>