

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_127498
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality assessment of tortilla chips enriched with microwave stabilized wheat bran
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript is importance to fulfil of demand of fiber from consumable of tortilla chips. So it is important and taste is also better than other types of chips. There are more nutritional as calcium, fiber, magnesium and iron are available in wheat bran.</p> <p>I like this because of the making product of tortilla chips was wheat bran and corn. There are found in a higher amount of nutrients inside of wheat bran and corn.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>Not suitable</p> <p>“Quality evaluation of Tortilla chips enriched with microwave-stabilized wheat bran”.</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>There are no proper way to fallow a pattern for abstract so it to correct it. Keywords needed to changed it.</p> <p>increased moisture and oxidation effects it to be remove and add nutritional prperties. Moisture content and water activities are physical properties. Keywords-Wheat bran, Tortilla chips, Nutritional, Shelf life, Storage.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>In all section after main Heading, sub heading to comes which is given a number as 2.1, 3.1, 4.1.....</p> <p>2.1 Procurement of raw materials: 2.2 Quality assessment of tortilla chips 2.2.1 Free fatty acid: 2.2.2 Peroxide value: 2.2.3 Total Microbial count:</p> <p>3. Physical Properties 3.1 Moisture content, 3.2 Water activity</p> <p>4. Sensory evaluation:</p> <p>5. Statistical analysis:</p> <p>Table 1 remove from material and methods it to be put on end of Introduction.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript is very good, but its needed some correction as Abstract, In Material and Methods under quality assessment of tortilla chips given water activity and moisture content, but it is coming under physical properties. So it will be needed for change. In result and discussion needed full explanation of result, there are good in case of discussion. This manuscript is robust because of its demand of nutritional chips which are available in tortilla chips healthy and taste.</p> <p>water activity: Water activity was measured using an Aqualab water activity meter (Model series 3TE)</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>and readings were corrected at 20⁰C [11].</p> <p>Moisture content: Moisture content in the samples was determined by following the oven drying method as the loss in weight due to evaporation from sample at a temperature of 105±1⁰C. The weight loss in each case represented the amount of moisture present in the sample [11].</p> <p>This part to remove from quality assessment of tortilla chips, because of its not a quality parameter it's have physical parameter and add physical properties of tortilla chips.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient, but there is no proper way according to this journal. So it requires to be changed as journal require.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes English language are suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Awadhesh Kumar Yadav
Department, University & Country	Deen Dayal Upadhyay Gorakhpur University, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Sciences, India