

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128266
Title of the Manuscript:	Academic Achievement and Challenges of Students in Learning Christian Living Education 8 in a Philippine Catholic High School
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As a reviewer I believe this manuscript contributes valuable insights into the academic achievement and learning challenges faced by students in Christian Living Education (CLE) 8, an essential subject in Philippine Catholic schools. And I also think that finding of present study may be helpful for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of present study is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good and well written but still it lacks some standard like aim and objective of study is mentioned but it's not too clear secondly, methodology and findings are mentioned properly but it lacks conclusion and Implications therefore, authors should add few lines about conclusion and implications of present study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The Manuscript is scientifically correct but requires major changings, once these major changings are addressed then it will be worth reading.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used in the study are recent but I don't know why authors have used 82 references which is unnecessary. The Standard for References is 40 to 50 therefore, authors are suggested to reduce number of references from the manuscript.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Some minor corrections in are required like right under table 1 first line says          “This study utilized a 37-item researcher-made” After item a subjected is introduced without following grammatical rules therefore such minor mistakes can be seen throughout the manuscript. Authors are suggested to review after from top to bottom and get it proofread by expert.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p><b>Major revision</b></p> <p><b>Introduction Section:</b>          1- At the end of introduction add one paragraph about structure of research paper.          2- One sub-heading should be added in this section about Research Questions</p> <p><b>Literature Review Section</b>          1- I wonder why authors have not included literature review?          2- Authors are suggested to add literature review based on their hypotheses.          3- Literature review should be 2 section of the paper and FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY should be sub-heading of literature review.</p> <p><b>Methodology Section:</b>          1- This section is written very poorly, authors need to write this section properly, below are few suggestions to revise this section properly.          3.1 Research Design: here authors need to discuss which design they are using in the context of present study ad why?          3.2 Sampling technique and sample size          3.3 Data Collection Procedure          3.4 Scales or Measurements (or pilot study)          3.5 Data Analysis techniques: here they have to discuss which techniques are used and which statistical tools they have used etc.          3.6 Ethical Consideration</p> <p>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION should be replaced as (Empirical Findings)</p> <p>Add following sections as suggested</p> <p>5. Discussion: Here authors need to discuss main findings of present study based on your research questions like authors have to make a sub heading for every research question and offer their discussion and also align that finding with previous studies, in the same way they have to do it for all research questions and their findings.</p> <p>5.1 Policy Implications: this should be the sub-heading of discussion</p> <p>6. Conclusion: Discuss overall conclusion of findings of all research questions very briefly.          6.1 Limitations and Future Research: This should be last section of article.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer’s comment</u>	<u>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p><b>Name:</b></p>	<p><b>Wang Honggang</b></p>
<p><b>Department, University &amp; Country</b></p>	<p><b>College of International Studies, Yangzhou University, China</b></p>