

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_127987
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Adversities: A Systematic Literature Review of Challenges Faced by Transgender Individuals
Type of the Article	Review article (SLR)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical and often marginalized subject, shedding light on the historical, psychosocial, legal, educational, and health challenges faced by transgender individuals. The systematic review approach using PRISMA offers a structured synthesis of existing literature, which is valuable for identifying gaps and suggesting future research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it reflects the focus on navigating adversities and challenges faced by transgender individuals through a systematic review. No changes are needed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, detailing the objectives, methodology, and findings. However, it could include a specific mention of the key gaps identified to strengthen its conclusion	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and aligns with the requirements of a systematic literature review. However, some claims, particularly in the discussion of gaps and suggestions, need stronger substantiation with specific studies or examples.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, covering studies up to 2021. However, a few more recent publications from 2022–2023 could enhance the review's currency. For example: Studies focusing on post-COVID challenges faced by the transgender community in education and healthcare. Recent policy advancements in other countries that could offer comparative insights.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication. A few minor grammatical corrections and improvements in sentence structure are recommended.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can benefit from a section explicitly detailing policy implications or actionable recommendations based on the findings. Consider including a more nuanced analysis of cultural and regional differences in the challenges faced by transgender individuals.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamza Iftikhar
Department, University & Country	National University of Science and Technology, Pakistan