

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_128154
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical characteristics of soils under different cropping systems in Kashmir Himalayas
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic/title is intriguing, particularly the way it examines how various cropping systems affect soil characteristics, identifies which crops comparatively improve soil qualities and which do not, and suggests nutrient deficiencies brought on by the use of these crops so the researcher can address the issue.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Its good but its need some modification and I suggest the title as follow: Effects of different cropping system on selected soil properties in Kashmir Himalayas	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Somehow it good but it's not written in a good way, The abstract should begin with a brief, on-the-other-hand-defined statement of the concern, followed by a description of the research method and design, the major finding, and the conclusion reached. The following point should be included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The affiliation should be included. • Statement of the problem • Objective • Methodology • Results and discussion • Recommendation in a short way • Key words should 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, however, the way he/she organizes the procedures, it is mixed up, o and the acronym should not be written under the table.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference in the body part wasn't written appropriately.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's ok, but it has a grammar error, so it should be checked.	
Optional/General comments	The topics are interesting, but the ways of organizing the results and the procedure were not good enough, so it should be checked again.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mekonnen Begna Amesa
Department, University & Country	Mattu University, Ethiopia