

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_127387
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Smoking and Sun Drying on the Carcass Quality of Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript, because fish high biological value food with the demand for high quality edible for feeding people in the world is being created high pressure. In this spot light research work in order to fill the gap is very important, and therefore, the manuscript important to the researchers and scientific communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Yes, the title is descriptive and objectively precise	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive, despite of some grammatical and formatting errors and Yes, with few limitations as tried to be commented in the manuscript as well as Keywords is suggested as "Fisherwomen, Economic Political Empowerment, Marine fisheries, Post-harvest activities, Karnataka"	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <b>TITTLE</b> is correct that describes contents of the paper in the fewest possible words clearly and precisely, except for what I just suggested in the manuscript.</li> <li>The <b>ABSTRACT</b> is concise that states <b>Tittle, Major Objective</b>, purpose, methods, findings &amp; major conclusion of study.</li> <li><b>Keywords:</b> needs to be corrected as "<b>Fish, Conservation-Methods Carcass Quality, Consumer Preference</b>"</li> <li>The <b>INTRODUCTION</b> is also characterized as few corrections in regards to use liking words to make sentences and information complete and to increase soundness, etc. plus citation also needs to be think over adding references in order to support figures and numerical data</li> <li>Methodology part: very few corrections needs to be done such as I just suggested in the manuscript</li> <li><b>Results and discussion</b> part is well structured ,</li> <li><b>Recommendation</b> of the researcher must be there help policy makers and the government as well</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient for the study.	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><b>Good</b></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The <b>TITTLE</b> is correct</li> <li>• The <b>ABSTRACT</b>: is very brief and entails: Tittle, Major Objective, Methods and Materials, Major Findings, Possible Summary, Conclusion Inferred, and recommendation suggested.</li> <li>• Key words: <b>Fish, Conservation-Methods Carcass Quality, Consumer Preference”</b></li> <li>• The <b>INTRODUCTION</b> section is also characterized as few corrections such as using liking words to make sentences and information complete and to boost soundness, etc. citation is sufficient.</li> <li>• This Establish the context of the work being reported. This also accomplished by discussing (as briefly as possible) the relevant primary research literature (with citations), however, section is somewhat broad hence needs to reviewed and condensed.</li> <li>• <b>Methodology part: very somewhat very good for me.</b></li> <li>• <b>Results and discussion</b> part is well structured,</li> <li>• <b>Recommendation</b> of the researcher must be there help policy makers and the government as well</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer’s comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author’s comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Gizachew Delilo</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>College of Agriculture, Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia</b></p>