

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128594
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey and Analysis of University Teachers' Smart Teaching Competence
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers valuable insights into the smart teaching competencies of university teachers, which is critical in the context of education's digital transformation. By analyzing survey data and identifying strengths and weaknesses in smart teaching, the research contributes to understanding how educators can adapt to and benefit from modern technology in teaching. It also proposes actionable strategies to improve these competencies, addressing gaps in policy, resources, and training. These findings are pertinent to educational stakeholders aiming to enhance the quality and efficiency of higher education.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, <i>Survey and Analysis of University Teachers' Smart Teaching Competence</i> , is clear but somewhat generic. A more specific and engaging alternative could be: "Evaluating and Enhancing University Teachers' Smart Teaching Competencies: A Survey-Based Analysis"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, outlining the study's objectives, methodology, findings, and proposed solutions. However, it could benefit from a more concise summary of the results and implications to enhance clarity. For instance, it could emphasize key data points and the most critical recommendations.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically accurate, employing a structured methodology, detailed statistical analysis, and logical conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant and sufficiently recent, with some dating to 2023 and 2024. If available, adding more recent international studies or reviews on smart teaching in higher education could broaden the manuscript's context and relevance.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from minor refinements for conciseness and readability. For example, reducing redundancy in descriptions and ensuring grammatical consistency will improve clarity.	
Optional/General comments	Consider emphasizing the practical applications of the findings in both the abstract and conclusion. Incorporate visual representations (e.g., charts or graphs) of key data to make the results more accessible.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Keerthana
Department, University & Country	Sri Sai Ram Engineering College, India