

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_127657
Title of the Manuscript:	RADIOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS OF THROMBOPHLEBITIS OF THE RIGHT OVARIAN VEIN, A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper can be considered as an article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests (nephrology, urology). Ovarian vein thrombosis (OVT) is a rare condition and in literature there is lack of sources so this article has its place in publishing. Expectative approach is preferable and the results are positive making the publication valuable to its reader.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is well formed with sufficient information on case presented within the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract is representative of the case presented with all needed content in its clear and scientifically correct order.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Presented pathology is very rare, actually extremely rare with very few articles in literature. As mentioned, conservative and expectative approach show us that there are acute conditions which can be treated in this manner and it is valuable for readers and scientific environment. Scientific "weight" is sufficient. Differential diagnosis and relations with adjacent organs is explained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	We must mention that there need to be minimum 5 references from past 5 years. This is something that needs correction.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No need for correcting language since it is well structured text with proper scholar communication level.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	My opinion is that there is enough weight in the article for publishing with minor revision needed because of the references. There should be minimum 5 of them from the publications in last 5 years.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail) No ethical issues</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bojan Vuckovic
Department, University & Country	University of Nis, Serbia