

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128233
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of SEM and XRD in the microstructure analysis of fiber-reinforced concrete corroded by salt solution
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Bad English, lack of references, authors don't support their research by previous works, they don't give sufficient information of the experimental study,	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title of this article don't refer to the contents	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract must be improved by the results of this research,	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	No, authors must improve: the experimental part is not well explained, samples are not described in the manuscript, the results are not well interpreted	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, lack and poor references	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, must be revised by professionals</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article is rejected</p> <p>Application of SEM and XRD in the microstructure analysis of fiber-reinforced concrete corroded by salt solution</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. English is very lack, must be revised by professionals, 2. In the abstract, authors may give synthetic details of study's results 3. Key words must include these terms: Fibers, Corrosion, SEM and XRD Methods 4. Authors must give the concrete formulation, insert a Table, 5. Lack of references, authors must support their research by literature works, 6. Authors must insert figures to illustrate the specimens 7. Authors must give more interpretations to the insert figures 8. The experimental part is not well explained, authors may give more details of this part. 9. Authors must give more interpretation for their results; they not explain correctly these results and the causes, we show lack of arguments. 10. The interpretation parts must be enriched. 11. Conclusions must be detailed and enriched 12. References are so poor <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Latefa Sail</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Aboubekr Belkaid University, Algeria</p>