

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_127855
Title of the Manuscript:	Deepfake Regulations and Their Impact on Content Creation in the Entertainment Industry
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. The research work is a significant contribution to the community and will undoubtedly explore the impact of deepfake and urgency of its detection in real world.                  2. The exploration of evaluation parameters like multivariate regression, chi-square tests, and logistic regression add significant value to the research, highlighting the potential for these methods to improve detection accuracy.                  3. The detailed use of these evaluation metrics highlights the reliability and effectiveness of the proposed model, showcasing its superiority over existing methods.                  4. Literature work is well organized although some more recent work covering use of GAN(Generative Adversarial Networks) can be included which is also considered a bog source of deepfake data.                  5. Methodology is well articulated , only comparison with existing work would be required to understand the contribution and advancement of the research on this topic. If possible an flowchart would provide more inflow of work. Outlier study if amendment would add more insights to the work.                  6. This work is a significant contribution to the community and will undoubtedly influence future research and applications in deepfake detection.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Preeti Sharma</p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p>University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, India</p>