

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127653
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Efficiency and Value Added in Tofu Production: A Food Industry Perspective
Type of the Article	Original article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study found to be important as optimizing efficiency and value addition in tofu production enhances profitability, minimizes waste and meets consumer demands for quality and innovation. It also helps to fosters sustainable practices, strengthens market competitiveness and supports the growing demand for plant-based protein in the global food industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good. The authors have provided overview of research objectives, analytical tools used and the major findings. However, it can be enhanced for better comprehensiveness and clarity. Suggestions: 1. Start with introductory sentence about the Tofu production and its significance in the region/ area of study 2. Include policy implications and suggestions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The authors analysed the data using analytical tools. The manuscript is statistically robust and technically sound. Methodological aspects of the article also maintained high standards.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not in the proper format References are not sufficient and recent. Add recent references and kindly follow the referencing style of the journal	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No The quality of English need to be improve. There are some typographical mistakes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heikham Narmila Devi
Department, University & Country	North-Eastern Hill University, India