

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_127582
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy and safety of photobiomodulation on non-infected pressure ulcer in adults: systematic review protocol of randomized clinical trials
Type of the Article	Study Protocol and pre-protocol

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community as it addresses a critical gap in the understanding and application of photobiomodulation (PBM) for non-infected pressure injuries in adults. By systematically evaluating the efficacy and safety of PBM using a robust methodology, the study contributes to evidence-based practices in wound care, a field with profound implications for patient outcomes and healthcare costs. It also offers a detailed framework for future research, encompassing diverse study designs and comprehensive outcome measures. Moreover, the focus on randomized clinical trials (RCTs) enhances the reliability of the findings, supporting clinical decision-making and policy development for managing pressure injuries effectively.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive where it's mentioning that randomized controlled trials (RCTs) still will be conducted in databases from their inception to December 2024. Therefore, it isn't clear if the abstract is concluded or not	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I suggest references' update with recent papers up to 5 years ago	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript's introduction describes mostly about the wound though the focus should be the photobiomodulation. Also, the references used by the author are sometimes almost 10 years delayed even with much updated papers published. Methodology is not clear since some verbs are in the future and some in past. I suggest to use third person once part of research will be developed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maisa Ribeiro
Department, University & Country	University Center of Mineiros, Brazil