

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128218
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and characterization of pathogen causing fruit rot diseases from Jackfruit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides crucial insights into the molecular identification of pathogens responsible for fruit rot diseases, shedding light on a significant threat to global food security. By employing molecular methods, the researcher offers a valuable contribution to the scientific community, enabling the development of targeted disease management strategies and improving the overall understanding of plant-pathogen interactions. Ultimately, this study's findings have the potential to inform evidence-based practices for reducing crop losses and promoting sustainable agriculture, benefiting farmers, consumers, and the environment alike.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is well written and comprehensive enough.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and contributes to the pool of knowledge of rot disease causative pathogens	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient. Although there are a few old references, however, the author has justified them with more recent references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the article language is suitable for scholarly communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, I wish to commend the author for carrying out such an insightful research on rot disease causative pathogens especially for employing molecular techniques in the course of the research	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Olaomi Adeyemi Afeez
Department, University & Country	Federal University Lokoja, Nigeria