

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128055
Title of the Manuscript:	Measuring the Students' Practice of 21st Century Skills in a Philippine Catholic Senior High School
Type of the Article	Empirical

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript will enable scientific community to fully prepare very well in this technology era The manuscript will also enable scientific community to improve in the area of education The manuscript will also enable scientific community to alleviate poverty in the society	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The topic is ok but for the better understanding of the topic by readers, I suggest that it should be written as ' Assessing the impact of 21 <sup>st</sup> century skills on students' performance in Philippine Catholic Senior High School	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is the summary of the main points of the paper. Therefore, I suggest that the author should rewrite his abstract by capturing only the following points: i. The main objectives follow by the specific objectives ii. The design/Methodology/Approach iii. The findings of the study iv. The conclusion and recommendations	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	While the research questions are relevant and specific. While the research design for this manuscript is not correct. The design should be mix-methods research design (Quantitative and qualitative). The sampling technique is ok which is stratified random sampling. The data collection should be quantitative and qualitative. The data analysis is ok	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are ok	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The background of the study should start with the definition of relevant concepts in the topic of the study such as independent and dependent variables and establish a clear link between them and with other concepts in the study. The author should also effect all the corrections pointed out	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aminu Tagwai
Department, University & Country	Kaduna State University, Nigeria