

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_127777
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of Notch-1 Gene Expression in Patients with Glioma Tumors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Dear editor, The manuscript entitled " Significance of Notch-1 Gene Expression in Patients with Glioma Tumors " reports the clinical significance of Notch1 in patients with glioma. This manuscript contains a couple of new findings that would be important for oncology research and medical area and quality of this manuscript is reached to be accepted. The explanation seems to be reasonable. Overall, experiments in this manuscript seem to be well documented. In addition, the data were completely clear. However, there are some grammatical errors to correct the manuscript. Therefore, since the manuscript was well documented, it is recommended to be accepted by correcting the minor points for this journal.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In this study, 48 histopathologically confirmed astrocytoma tumors were incorporated and in title the glioma should be replaced with astrocytoma.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Discussion should not have subtitle. Up-regulation, PFS, and OS are not suitable keywords.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsen Zhaleh
Department, University & Country	Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Iran