

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125173
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Germination Conditions for Dragon Fruit Seeds East Nimar region, Khandwa, MP.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly relevant to the scientific community as it explores innovative pre-treatment methods aimed at increasing the germination percentage and vigor of dragon fruit seeds. The findings, particularly the effectiveness of alternating light conditions combined with water soaking, provide valuable perspectives on seed management practices that can enhance agricultural productivity. I appreciate this manuscript for its practical implications and quantitative approach, as it establishes a solid foundation for future research in agronomy and contributes to our understanding of how environmental factors influence seed germination and plant establishment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs adjustments.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is highly relevant; however, it requires additional information in the methodology and a more in-depth discussion, both in comparing the results obtained and in seeking physiological explanations that justify these results. Furthermore, the conclusion needs to be entirely restructured.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Some works cited in the text are missing from the references, and there is a need to standardize the references according to the journal's guidelines.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uasley Caldas de Oliveira
Department, University & Country	State University of Mato Grosso, Brazil