

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_128328
Title of the Manuscript:	Is Anemia in Pregnant Women an Emerging Crisis? A Study Carried at a Southern Coast Public Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Short communication

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Manuscript is important for the public health society...but need restructuring in the manuscript with sound grammar and style of presentation</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Ok</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is not comprehensive, background is irrelevant and unsuitable, need to write 2-3 lines on anaemia in pregnancy. Objectives no 2 to 5 in abstract are irrelevant. Results should be specific and compact in abstract	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Not correct scientifically, No references are quoted or quoted wrongly in introduction/discussion. Too many tables for such a small article, need to be reduced to 4-5, Table 8 title is misnomer as preterm labour. Pr LSCS, pedal oedema, Rh Negative status are not as such risk factors of anaemia. Results are rewritten differently in discussion (not needed), instead of comparing the findings with other similar studies. Language is very poor in the article.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Reference no. 1 is incomplete. Need around 15 to 20 references suitable to the current study.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Poor language and grammar	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Need gross rewrite up of the article after following standard/uniform guidelines of writing the article though focus of the study is excellent	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R G Viveki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Belagavi Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>