

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_126467
Title of the Manuscript:	Conducting Children's Life Education Based on Tao Xingzhi's Theory of Life Education
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is significant based on modern problem globally. The topic has potential to provide essential practical based evidence in early childhood education. The manuscript could gap in the literature	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, only after incorporated comments	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Only a single reference from the current year (2024) is added, all others are outdated (see the comments for details)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, only after incorporated comments	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many sentences lack citation for instance (see section 2.2 lines 42-54) etc. There are big claims without citation for instance (see lines: 220-226). Whole transcript requires a thorough revision in order to include citations. The communication is quite theoretical, what is the evidence of social and natural life teaching specially for kids of early childhood (the chief argument made in the manuscript)? Why understanding such life aspects are crucial, the manuscript should represent concrete examples. A good example is added between lines (229-232). However, the offered suggestion does not look sufficient despite the article exceed word limit ideally required for short communication, there is paucity of detailed and research orientated evidences, this addition could benefit the target audience. From the given reference list, some references such as reference no:12, 13 are not cited in text. There is a need of recheck all the citations. Only a single reference from the current year (2024) is added, all others are outdated. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Preeta Hinduja
Department, University & Country	Iqra University, Pakistan