

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_127929
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PUBLIC DEBT ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA (2000 – 2023)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This abstract is important for the scientific community as it addresses the pressing issue of public debt and its impact on economic development, particularly in the context of a like Nigeria. By distinguishing the effects of different types of debt - domestic, bilateral, and multilateral, it provides understanding into debt management strategies and their implications for sustainable growth. The study's use of rigorous econometric methods ensures reliability, offering a model for similar analyses in other countries facing comparable fiscal challenges.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is fairly comprehensive, as it outlines the scope of the study, methodology, findings, and recommendations. However, there are areas for improvement to enhance its clarity and impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct as it employs established econometric techniques to achieve the objectives. The methods and data sources, such as the Debt Management Office (DMO) and the Central Bank of Nigeria, are credible and appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and demonstrate a broad engagement with recent and relevant literature on public debt and its impact on economic development.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and quality are generally clear and understandable, but there are areas where the writing could be refined for better scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The abstract includes excessive technical words about the methods (e.g., ADF, Johansen Co-integration Test). Abstracts should focus on key findings and implications. The abstract fails to define "economic development", leaving readers unclear about the specific indicators used.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okutimiren Adeteji Olusegun
Department, University & Country	Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria