

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_127609
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF INSECTICIDES RESISTANCE IN CULEX MOSQUITOES TO DDT, DELTAMETHRIN, PERMETHRIN AND PBO(SYNERGY) IN GOMBE METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is very good because it provides important information for all of us regarding the resistance of culex mosquitoes so that people do not carelessly use chemicals to eradicate insects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract and content of the article are comprehensive but require additional references in the methods section and other sections such as the discussion. The discussion section does not yet explain the findings. It would be better to add an explanation related to the research results so that this article becomes more comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The method used in this study is cross-sectional. However, in some parts, it requires an experimental method. The author must review the appropriate method for this study equipped with several supporting references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references used are not recent. Still need some additional references, especially in the research methods section.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's good enough but could be better. It is recommended to use proof reading services to make this manuscript even better.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rian Oktiansyah
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah, Indonesia