

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127671
Title of the Manuscript:	To screen out new wheat varieties suitable for late-sown conditions, high yield potential, and favorable economics of wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) in the Central Plain Zone of Uttar Pradesh (U.P.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title not suitable Suggested title : Evaluation of new wheat varieties with high yield potential suitable for late sown condition in the central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract need to be improve	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Not fully, need to rewrite	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need more latest reference s	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is fine	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendra Kumar Bhattarai
Department, University & Country	National Agronomy Research Centre, Agricultural Research Council, Nepal