

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_127955
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Diagnostic and Prognostic Role of C-Reactive Protein and Fibrinogen Degradation Product Sickle Cell Disease Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Evaluating the diagnostic and prognostic role of C-Reactive Protein (CRP) and Fibrinogen Degradation Product (FDP) in sickle cell disease management is crucial for the scientific community. These biomarkers provide valuable insights into the inflammatory state and thrombotic risks in patients with this condition. By integrating CRP and FDP measurements into clinical protocols, healthcare professionals can better monitor disease progression, tailor treatments, and potentially improve clinical outcomes and quality of life for patients. This approach could lead to more personalized and effective management strategies for sickle cell disease.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive. I suggest to delete the conclusion of this abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes we have the different parts of a scientific manuscript but subtitles are not proportional	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general, the manuscript respects the different parts of a scientific article, but these parts are disproportionately written.  The introduction is very short. There is no literature review, we need to know what has already been done for this type of study. Regarding materials and methods, there are too many sub-headings, some of which have no reason to exist. This is a scientific article, not a dissertation, so we need to get to the point. The results section would be more attractive if there were figures in addition to the existing tables. The discussion and conclusion are a little short. they could be developed further.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Bana Fridath Bio Nigan
Department, University & Country	ESMT, Senegal