

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127968
Title of the Manuscript:	Residual effect of organics and humic acid on nutrients content and uptake by succeeding chickpea
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It provides insights (Quite Incomplete) into the residual effects of organic manures and humic acid application on nutrient content and uptake in a cereal-pulse cropping system. The study highlights the comparative advantages of farmyard manure (FYM) over other organic manures such as vermicompost and castor shell compost, emphasizing its role in improving soil fertility and crop productivity. Furthermore, it explores the integration of humic acid application as a sustainable strategy to enhance nutrient availability and soil health without harming the environment. These findings contribute to the broader understanding of sustainable agriculture practices, particularly in optimizing resource utilization and ensuring long-term soil health in diverse agro-climatic conditions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is incomplete, I would suggest alternative title as; " <i>Impact of Organic Manures and Humic Acid on Nutrient Dynamics and Crop Performance in Pearl Millet–Chickpea Cropping System</i> "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, its not exactly representing study, I would suggest rewrite with some factual information of the findings	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, they have made right attempt but its needs to be present in proper structure	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very rarely they have cited and compare with other study. Discussion is totally missing.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Lots of typographical and technical mistakes are there. A critical revision of the study must	
Optional/General comments	<p>Key sections missing from the research article include:</p> <p>Objective: Clearly stating the research objective at the end of the introduction section to provide a focused context for the study.</p> <p>Data Representation: Converting tabular data into graphical formats to enhance clarity and facilitate better understanding of the results.</p> <p>Conclusion: Including a comprehensive conclusion at the end of the article to summarize key findings, highlight their significance, and suggest future directions for research.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hiteshvari V. Korat
Department, University & Country	Gujarat Natural Farming Science University, India