

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127904
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of red wine grape varieties for growth and yield parameters in semi-arid condition of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes , important for scientific community, it benefits society by achieving food security Reducing pollution using pesticides	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract of the article comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes,appropriate	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes,scientifically correct	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The references are sufficient but somewhat outdated	
Optional/General comments	Yes , article suitable for scholarly communications	
	Nothing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hayder Azeez Ali Al-Shebly
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical, Iraq