

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_127564
Title of the Manuscript:	SENSITIVITY EVALUATION OF DEEP LEARNING-BASED MODELS FOR DENTAL CARIES DETECTION IN BITEWING RADIOGRAPHS: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Systematic review and metanalysis are at the top of epidemiologic evidences Its very interesting topic contribute to better clinical practice and evidence-based medicine	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Informative Abstract, just you need to mention the start point of time period of articles included in the review	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I think it is well presented	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	According to the inclusion criteria for this systematic review, I think no need for additional references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I thank the author(s) for efforts seen in this manuscript, and advise them to review The PRISMA guidelines for reporting systematic reviews. In addition to following the publication guidelines of this journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naeima Houssein
Department, University & Country	University of Benghazi, Libya