

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_126051
Title of the Manuscript:	Degradation Of Food Wood Species Of Sudure And Their Preferences By The Local Population Of The Sudanian And Sahelian Zones Of Niger.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is important for such in sufficiently studied species types and their uses. The scientific and local communities in the study areas and the same agroecologies can use the findings of this research. But the manuscript needs revision SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but needs revision	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not, need revision	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Abstract, introduction, and conclusions and future research directions have to be written appropriately. That is for instance, in abstract: Please write these species in full scientific name initially then write them in abbreviation for throughout the manuscript. E.g. <i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i> C.F.Gaertn. please see the track manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NEED REVIST	
Minor REVISION comments	Needs revision in the introduction	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs revision as it has editorial errors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wakshum Shiferaw Gameda
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia