

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_127899
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF HYOSCINE BUTYL BROMIDE ON THE DURATION OF ACTIVE PHASE OF LABOUR IN EKITI STATE, NIGERIA; A RANDOMISED DOUBLE-BLIND CONTROLLED TRIAL
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is a good step to use hyoscine butyl bromide and reduce the side effects used for other treatments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A randomized double-blind controlled trial examining the impact of hydroxybutyl bromide on the duration of the active phase of labor in Ekiti State, Nigeria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sixteen references under 2015 must be cited as recent references.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Dear Authors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How the dosage was determined should be explained. 2. There is no need to write the significant or statistical difference of the results in the abstract. 3. Is this percentage 13.2% versus 14.7% similar or approximate? Please correct. 4. There is no need to write recommendations in the abstract. 5. In introduction: It however does not affect uterine contractions4. How?! 6. In introduction: Trevino et al and Barau et al. found no significant..... Etc. 7. Study Population, Study Tool, sample size and Inclusion Criteria under one title. 8. Avoid repetition in the title Randomisation and Blinding. 9. Study number and groups were..... etc. This paragraph is placed under one title with methodology. 10. Abbreviate paragraph Patients Recruitmen and Data Collection or collect them. 11. Reference must be made in Data Analysis paragraph. 12. Limitation of the study it is preferable to delete this paragraph because it raises many questions. 13. In the results paragraph, there is no need to repeat the information mentioned previously. The results of the experiment must be addressed and the usefulness of the first table explained, and then reference to Table No. 1. 14. You must refer to the first table in the body or text of the manuscript. 15. I don't think there is any point in mentioning religions!! 16. In published papers, the results of the table are usually explained, followed by the table number and its order. 17. The researcher's opinion should be given regarding these differences in the percentages of the indicated results and their comparison. 18. I did not find in the discussion a detailed comparison of the results according to the data. It was necessary to compare the different percentages of age and others. 19. Sixteen references under 2015 must be cited as recent references. 20. Writing references is consistent with the journal style. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaid Khalid Alani
Department, University & Country	Al-Turath University, Iraq