

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_126871
Title of the Manuscript:	INSECTS AS ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. authors must write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The research contributes novel insights, advancing the understanding of the field. It articulates the potential significance and impact of the study on the academic community.</p> <p>-----</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A simple presentation</p> <p>1.International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature Genus: <i>Hilara</i> Meigen, 1822: First cited in the text of the article: From the second time citation: <i>Hilara</i>. Species: <i>Empis dasycera</i> (Collin, 1960) (Diptera: Empididae): First cited in the text of the article. From the second time citation <i>E. dasycera</i>. Example: the year of description of the species and the author are not always within the parentheses. <i>Musca domestica</i> Linnaeus, 1758 (Diptera: Muscidae). Specie and Species: sp. and ssp. are not italicized.</p> <p>2.International Code of Botanical Nomenclature Genus <i>Agropyron</i> Gaertn. (Poales: Poaceae) First cited in the text of the article From the second time citation: <i>Agropyron</i> It may or may not contain the year of description of the species (we seldom found the year). Specie: <i>Agropyron cristatum</i> Gaertn. (Poales: Poaceae). First cited in the text of the article From the second time citation <i>A. cristatum</i></p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b> In the text of the article</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>No</b> In the text of the article</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript</b></p>	<p><b>No</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

appropriate?	In the text of the article	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Good article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Carlos Henrique Marchiori</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Marco Santana, Brazil</b>