

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_125463
Title of the Manuscript:	Postprandial Blood Glucose And Glycaemic Index Of Immature Coconut Nuts Water (Cocos Nucifera L.) : Varieties Tall, Hybrid And Dwarf Of International Collection In Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked the novelty of the research article. The methodology is good. This study will be helpful for Diabetic people.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think no need to write the varieties in the title of article. Postprandial Blood Glucose And Glycaemic Index Of Immature Coconut Nuts Water ( <i>Cocos Nucifera</i> L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Write the study design in the abstract as it is not mentioned there. Check for the spelling mistakes in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Aims and objectives are not written in Material and methods.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology is good. Analysis of the data is done correctly. Comparison of different varieties with the graphs is nicely done in result. References are given as needed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some spelling mistakes need to be corrected.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aparna Chaudhari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mimer Medical College, India</b>