

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_127944
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Garrett Ranking Technique to determine the Factors Affecting Outside Eating Habits among Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is good because another factor that determines eating habits outside the home is the peer group. We know that generally on campus there are peer groups, but the discussion is still not very extensive, so to complete it, it would be better if the discussion was supplemented with the latest literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Garett Ranking Technique to Determine the Factors Affecting Outside Eating Habits among Students	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add how long the research was carried out using the Google form	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Scientifically, it is correct, but some things need to be added to the methodology and discussion. For example, regarding this methodology, can you explain the total population and their level (maybe level one, two, or the end level)? Perhaps a population in level one doesn't go out to eat at the end level on campus? Or is there an age restriction? Or are there other limitations to being a respondent/sample</p> <p>How long did you collect the data? Are the data collected really 220 samples, or have they been cleaned? You can make it with the table about your total population from UG, PG, and PHD so clearly your total population, and at the end, your samples you make the data for analysis.</p> <p>Then, in the discussion, we did not find a detailed discussion regarding peer groups</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add references from new year like 2021, 2022 and 2023	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sanya Anda Lusiana
Department, University & Country	Indonesia