

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Chemical Science International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CSIJ_127372 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Photochemical removal of methylene blue in aqueous solution |
| Type of the Article | Journal Type |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Currently, this type of work serves as a valuable resource for future researchers, helping them better understand the methods and significance of dye removal from water. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | suggested title for better clarity "Photochemical Removal of Methylene Blue from Aqueous Solutions Using Surface Morphology Techniques" | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Need to improve the specific adsorption capacity values. ➤ Two more keywords should include. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Please review the grammar throughout the entire document. Ensure the content is concise and well-structured. ➤ If it is a short communication, the provided content may suffice. However, for a full journal article, it is essential to include additional information such as the journal's impact factor and related details. ➤ Characterization studies are not included in the current content. Please make an effort to incorporate these studies to enhance the manuscript. ➤ Additionally, verify all references to ensure they are accurate and appropriately formatted. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Check the reference once again | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Please review the grammar throughout the entire document. Ensure the content is concise and well-structured. | |
| Optional/General comments | Nil | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | A.Revathi |
| Department, University & Country | Kongu Engineering College, India |