

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_127980
Title of the Manuscript:	Addressing the Mental Health Crisis: A Framework for Strengthening Access in Underserved West African Communities
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant as it addresses a critical and overlooked issue- mental health in underserved west African communities. It provides a much-needed framework for strengthening access to mental health services.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Addressing the Mental Health Crisis: A framework for strengthening Access in Underserved West African Communities", is clear and relevant but could be more concise. "Addressing Mental Health Access in Underserved West Africa: A Strategic Framework."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Objectives: Clearly state the purpose of the framework and it's intended impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically sound of it uses evidence-based methodologies, integrates data from reliable sources, and aligns with current mental health frameworks.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references should be sufficient, diverse and include recent publications, from the past 5-10 years. Inclusion of recent WHO reports, mental health studies, or region-specific research would strengthen the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is crucial for scholarly communication. It appears that language quality of the article is satisfying.	
Optional/General comments	Informed Consent and Cultural Sensitivity: If the manuscript involves collecting mental health data or implementing interventions, ethical approval from relevant boards and informed consent from participants are essential. The study must respect local norms and beliefs about mental health to avoid ethical violations. "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer".	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohit Kalra
Department, University & Country	Kumaun University, Nainital, India