

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_127983
Title of the Manuscript:	National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death (NCEPOD) classification for Urgency of Caesarean Section: A Prospective Observational Study
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript is important to healthcare factors and the general public because it provides a more detailed classification of surgical procedures (cesarean section) experienced by patients rather than adopting the conventional classification of "elective and emergency." The study detailed the prevalence of cesarean section in the study frame, exploring the NCEPOD classification and indications in emergency surgery procedures observed in the institution 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of this manuscript is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The background of the abstract should be recast and made comprehensive The methods should be more detailed, pinpointing the study design, sample size and area, method of data collection, and statistical tools used to deduce the conclusion. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are a bit old. The authors should update the references referring to recent studies	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are so many grammatical errors, the authors should take note and revise the entire manuscript The figure titles should be written beneath the figure and not in the figure/chart Results should be constructed in paragraphs and not as bullets The materials and method should be more detailed and the statistical tool used should be accounted The first and second paragraphs of the discussion should be expunged (the discussion should be straight forward of the study findings) More references are needed in the manuscripts The manuscripts should be constructed as "abstract, introduction, materials and method, results, discussion and conclusion" so the aims, objectives, and classes of the cesarean section should be part of the introduction in paragraphs and not as bullets. There should be more emphasis on the justification of the study "why the need for the study" in the introductory session 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicholas Asiwe
Department, University & Country	University of Port-Harcourt, Nigeria