

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_127974
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Evidence-Based Practice on Nursing Outcomes: A Review of the Literature
Type of the Article	Review of the Literature

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is BUT The word "Nursing Outcomes" in title is broad and should be operationally defined.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It would be better for the authors to divide the abstract into specific sections as: aim, search strategy, key findings, conclusion, implications, etc.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>The manuscript needs some modifications to be scientifically sound. Here are some suggestions and questions:</p> <p><b>Purpose of the review:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The aim of the review is very broad and need to be focused and specific. For example the author mentioned that This review seeks to assess how EBP influences various facets of nursing practice, including patient outcomes, nursing efficiency, and professional development. What nursing outcomes will the author address in the review?</li> </ul> <p><b>Methodology:</b></p> <p><b>Literature Search Strategy</b></p> <p><b>Searching keywords:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Why didn't the authors use keywords as "nursing efficiency" and "professional development" as mentioned in the purpose section?</li> </ul> <p><b>Inclusion criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is better for the author to include research design type of studied that will be included in the review (e.g RCT, cohort,..etc) and the language of the research paper among the inclusion criteria.</li> </ul> <p><b>Data extraction and analysis:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The author should provide the data extraction sheet.</li> </ul> <p><b>Results</b></p> <p><b>Overview of Included Studies</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It would be better for the author to provide a diagram illustrating the search strategy of the review (Including number of studies located in the databases based on keywords, how many of them were excluded after quality evaluation, etc).</li> </ul> <p><b>Impact on Patient Outcomes:</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author should be specific and accurate in citing references (the author mentioned that EBP enhances patient safety, reduces complications, and improves overall health outcomes BUT he did not mention the references at the end of the statement).</li> <li>- Also the author mentioned that (For example, studies have demonstrated that implementing evidence-based infection control protocols significantly reduced infection rates). The word studies indicate several studies BUT he added only one reference which is CDC report. It would be better for the author to reword the sentence or mention the primary studies.</li> </ul> <p><b>Impact on Nursing Efficiency:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author used the word "studies" while he cited only one study. How?</li> </ul> <p><b>Summary:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Also using the word "studies" while citing only one reference is questionable.</li> </ul> <p><b>Strengths and limitations of the reviewed studies:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author mentioned that large sample sizes of studied as one of the strengths, and also he mentioned that some studied had small sample sizes as one of the limitations. How?</li> </ul>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- References must be reviewed accurately (reference number 15 and 16) were not mentioned in the text while listed in the reference list.</li> <li>- The font type of reference 17 to 22 is different from the others.</li> <li>- The author mentioned that (The review included a total of 112 studies) but only 22 references were listed. How?</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language/English quality of the article is good.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rady Mubarak Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Beni-Suef University, Egypt</b>