

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_127974
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Evidence-Based Practice on Nursing Outcomes: A Review of the Literature
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	For example, but not limited to: Benefits to nurses as enables nurses to provide patient care that is informed by the best available evidence, Nurses can also utilize an evidence based approach to drive quality improvement initiatives within their organizations. Benefits to patients as improved patient safety, enhanced quality of care, EBP leads to better patient outcomes by incorporating the most effective treatment; fewer complications Benefits to organization as reduction in healthcare costs, reduced lengths of hospital stay.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is clear, specific identified the dependent and independent variables, recent very vital and relevant to nursing specialty.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It includes the most important criteria. But shouldn't state abbreviation and do recommendations and conclusion in the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript is considered a scientific paper and not a scientific research that includes examining the results, conducting tests, and an actual evaluation of a real problem. Don't contain research questions or hypotheses.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are relevant to manuscript title, But should be written alphabetically and shouldn't written numerical but according to APA the edition citation style 2020; Also, not all of them are modern.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I wish it was not just a scientific paper, but rather a scientific study that researchers could benefit from by creating tables, research results, and an evaluation of a real problem being studied.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hala Abd El-Salam Mohamed Kamel Sheta
Department, University & Country	Benha University, Egypt