

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_127852
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Skin Tags (Acrochordons): Demographic features and their correlation with Body Mass Index (BMI)</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript offers important insights into the relationship between skin tags (acrochordons) and body mass index (BMI) in a Libyan population, highlighting potential links to metabolic syndrome. The findings contribute to the understanding of how benign dermatological conditions may serve as indicators of systemic health issues, such as obesity and diabetes. By enhancing knowledge in this area, the study provides a foundation for future research and public health strategies aimed at addressing metabolic disorders.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is generally suitable as it clearly conveys the main focus of the study. However, it could be made more concise and engaging. Here are a few alternative suggestions: "Demographic Correlates of Skin Tags: A Study of Their Association with Body Mass Index (BMI)"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Addition of Key Findings:</b> If the abstract does not include specific results or statistics, consider adding them to provide a clearer picture of the study's outcomes.</li> <li><b>Clarification of Methods:</b> Ensure that the methods section is clear yet informative enough for readers to understand how the study was conducted.</li> <li><b>Implications:</b> If not already included, consider adding a sentence about the implications of the findings for future research or clinical practice.</li> <li><b>Keywords:</b> If the abstract is intended for publication, including relevant keywords can enhance discoverability.</li> </ol>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript presents a well-structured investigation into skin tags and their association with BMI. However, it could be improved by addressing the suggestions outlined above, particularly regarding the clarity of hypotheses, justifications for sample size, and deeper exploration of the implications of findings. In summary, while the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in its methodology and presentation, enhancing clarity and depth in certain areas would strengthen its contribution to the field.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	While the existing references provide a solid foundation, updating some of the older references and including more recent studies will enhance the manuscript's credibility and relevance. Ensure that the reference list reflects the most current understanding of the relationship between skin tags, obesity, and metabolic syndrome.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	While the manuscript demonstrates a good understanding of the subject matter, the language quality requires improvements to meet the standards of scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>A. Soujanya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>JNTUH, India</b>