

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_127852
Title of the Manuscript:	Skin Tags (Acrochordons): Demographic features and their correlation with Body Mass Index (BMI)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the demographic characteristics and correlations of skin tags (acrochordons) with body mass index (BMI), emphasizing the potential role of metabolic syndrome in their etiology. It addresses a relatively underexplored area, especially in the Libyan population, adding localized data to the global context of dermatological and metabolic studies. By focusing on the association of obesity and metabolic disturbances with skin tags, the study may help clinicians use skin tags as markers for early detection of systemic conditions like diabetes. These findings could inform both preventive healthcare strategies and further research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Skin Tags (Acrochordons): Demographic features and their correlation with Body Mass Index (BMI)", is clear and aligns well with the study's content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is mostly comprehensive but could benefit from some refinements: It should clearly define the statistical significance of findings, such as the correlation (or lack thereof) between BMI and the number of lesions. The conclusion could be rephrased to highlight key findings in more specific terms rather than reiterating general points. Suggested Additions/Changes: Include the percentage breakdown of overweight and obese participants. Mention the lack of correlation between gender, age, and BMI for clarity.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically sound with appropriate methodology and statistical analysis. However, there are areas needing clarification: The small sample size (50 cases and 50 controls) limits the generalizability of findings. The lack of a statistically significant correlation between some variables could be discussed more thoroughly in the context of existing literature. The term "height of blood pressure" should be clarified or corrected.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are relevant and span several years, including recent studies. However, including more recent studies from 2021 onward, particularly in the context of metabolic syndrome and dermatology, would enhance the manuscript. Suggestions: Add studies that explore the genetic predisposition or molecular mechanisms of skin tags and obesity.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's language is understandable but requires significant editing for grammar and scholarly tone. Issues include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verb agreement errors (e.g., "many of theories have been proposed"). Incorrect word usage (e.g., "the was to study"). 	
Optional/General comments	The discussion section effectively integrates findings with previous literature but could elaborate more on clinical implications. Adding a flowchart or diagram summarizing the study design and results might improve reader engagement.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussain A. Jaber
Department, University & Country	AINahrain University,Iraq