

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_128004
Title of the Manuscript:	YOLOv11: Exploring Variants of Object Detection Models on Custom Datasets
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is to detect the objects from various regions by using the YOLO family. Already so much work was done by the various researchers. Here this article shows some novelty comparing with the existing ones.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES. Need to recheck the article and cite the content with the latest references. The gaps should be filled by the selected objectives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need to write some more content related to the Proposed work. 2. Need to write objectives of the work. 3. Need to cite latest references some more. 4. Compare the obtained results with the Existing results in the form of the table at the end of the result section. 5. Need to write the Discussion Section also. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Shiva Shankar
Department, University & Country	SRKR Engineering College, India