

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_127882
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Generative AI: Models, Applications, and Challenges in Data Synthesis
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nowadays, Generative AI become a very buzzword, and AI has various models and approaches which is known to only a few people around. This paper highlights the usage of models in different domains.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the methodology section, you mentioned a systematic review approach, but in the abstract is not the. Make the abstract all-inclusive, which gets the idea of the whole just by reading the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	As the author mentioned, the systematic approach and a flow diagram for the selection of the paper must be added in the methodology section according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. These criteria are also mentioned in the section with the rationale of the selection.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In the whole introduction part, no references are included. The author must add the in-text and reference in the introduction part to support your arguments related to the content in the introduction. Without the reference in the introduction does not give proper authority and credibility. In the reference, follow only the reference style mentioned in the author's guide. Some are in the format.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atul Sahu
Department, University & Country	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, India