

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128217
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSROOM-BASED LEARNING OF TEACHERS IN RELATION TO CURRICULUM PROCEDURE OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community as it explores the intersection between teacher development and curriculum implementation in elementary education. By examining the impact of classroom-based learning on teachers' understanding and application of curriculum procedures, the study contributes to the broader discourse on effective teaching strategies and professional development. Its findings can inform educational policy and practice, helping to improve teacher training programs and ultimately enhancing the quality of education in public schools.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on review, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound. The study uses a non-experimental quantitative research design to analyze classroom-based learning and curriculum procedures, revealing a moderate relationship.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper needs to be professionally edited before acceptance.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Give a citation from an authentic source to establish the term 'non-experimental research design' which you used in your article.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Suvendu Ray
Department, University & Country	University of Kalyani, India