

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128047
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF INTERNET USAGE ON THE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF SECONDARY STUDENTS
Type of the Article	SCIENTIFIC SOCIAL SCIENCE PAPER

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript definitely holds importance for the scientific community. Internet has become part and parcel of learning and performance. So correlating academic achievements with the use of internet usage is a sensible thought for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title needs revision. A Pragmatic Study on the Usage of Internet in Correlation with Academic Achievements of Secondary School Learners.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Crisp and Clear	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is accepted scientifically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and relevant.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable except few punctuation errors.	
Optional/General comments	Re-work required with reference to the Hypothesis. There is ambiguity. The article is well written and carefully proven with scientific tools. The manuscript must be carefully read through to identify and correct punctuation errors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B Sridevi
Department, University & Country	Saveetha Engineering College (Autonomous), India