

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_127677
Title of the Manuscript:	PROJECT MANAGER COMPETENCY ON PROJECT SUCCESS IN THE CONCEPT OF SCOPING REVIEW: CONSTRUCTION PROJECT PERSPECTIVE OF PT. MMS GRUP
Type of the Article	scoping review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article provides a comprehensive mapping of existing research on the relationship between project manager competency and construction project success, highlighting key trends and gaps. It also challenges previous assumptions, offering valuable insights for further exploration and refining project management practices in the construction industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it clearly outlines the purpose, methodology, key findings, and significance of the scoping review.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, However, a detailed evaluation of the full manuscript would be needed to confirm its scientific rigor and accuracy	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veto Dey
Department, University & Country	The Oxford College of Business Management, India