

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_127677
Title of the Manuscript:	Project manager competency on project success in the concept of scoping review: construction project perspective of pt. Mms grup
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Okay, but it can be improved for conciseness and clarity, particularly for broader academic appeal. <i>The Role of Project Manager Competency in Construction Project Success: A Scoping Review</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It could be improved to enhance its comprehensiveness, clarity, and academic rigor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Inconsistency in Findings - Limited Discussion of Results - Scope Specification - Impact or Contribution - Grammar/Clarity Issues 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Generally, yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add all the papers in the scoping review process to the list of references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Generally yes and acceptable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irwan Mohammad Ali
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak Branch, Malaysia