

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_128194
Title of the Manuscript:	"Impact Assessment Of Vermicompost Training Programmes At Kvk Buxa, Jaunpur: Enhancing Farmers' Knowledge And Practices"
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significance for the scientific community by addressing the socio-economic attributes, reason for participation and suggestions of vermicomposting training programs, which is a critical component of sustainable agricultural practices. The manuscript does not comprehensively address the "impact assessment" of the training programs as suggested in the title. While it describes demographic details, motivations, and suggestions, it lacks a rigorous analysis of the actual impact on knowledge, practices, or farm productivity post-training. The authors should provide more data or analysis to align with the title's promise.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title does not accurately reflect the content of the manuscript. A more suitable title could be: "Analysis of Farmer Participation and Feedback on Vermicompost Training Programs at KVK Buxa, Jaunpur"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from minor adjustments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlight the limitations or areas for improvement in the training programs. • Include a brief mention of the methodology (e.g., pre- and post-test evaluation). 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct but lacks depth in its impact assessment. The authors should consider: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorporating a comparison of pre- and post-training knowledge or practices. • Providing concrete examples of how the training influenced farming outcomes. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript refers to old articles and recent references are to be added.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and understandable, but there are minor grammatical and stylistic issues. A thorough proofreading session is recommended to refine phrasing and improve readability.	
Optional/General comments	The authors should consider expanding the discussion section to better integrate findings with the broader context of sustainable agriculture in India. Including a visual representation (e.g., graphs or charts) of key data points like farmer motivations and demographic distribution could enhance the manuscript's appeal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajay Kumar Prusty
Department, University & Country	M S Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Centurion University of Technology & Management, India