

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_127970
Title of the Manuscript:	Engineering and physiochemical properties of jamun (Syzygium cuminii L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study focused on the most important physical, chemical and engineering properties of jamun fruit. The results were logical and the researcher described them in scientific manner	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title was suitable for this article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Discussion of the results was insufficient and the researcher didn't compare his results with the results reached by previous studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The recent references used were few, so resent references should be added.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor spell checking for some words should be done.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amin Mohammed Alwaseai
Department, University & Country	Tamar University, Republic of Yemen