

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>In addition to the revision comments I found the following.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Article title not clear and need correction. 2- Article is importance and systematically conventional. 3- Article concern with the important of fermented food by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> grown on medium of lima seeds to improve proteins, dietary fiber and antioxidants. 4- Abstract not include the aim of this study and need to reformulate included the comperhensev information related the present study. 5- Article are not appropriate for establish the relevance of the influence of fermentation time on the antinutritive factors, bioactive phenolic compounds, and antioxidant capacity of lima bean flour. 6- Author must be given fermentation time in method and analysis. . 7- Article lacks scientific methodology in arranging the subheadings, including the results analysis, anti-nutritional factors, and mineral analysis. 8- Author needs to review and correct the text as red color. 9- Results and discussion in the article must be expand in details with other studies relating with aim of study should have been expand discussed. 10- References are not sufficient, need to be reviewed , needs to modern related references and adhere to the journal format. 11- Language and spelling mistakes are present in many places and need English editor to improve the quality of the article. 12- Author must strictly adhere to the journal's system in standardizing, and writing texts, structure and references. <p>Decision</p> <p>This article requires the author to do the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Do what is required from 1 to 12 mentioned above in this decision. 2- Reformulate the abstract, results and discussion in light of analyzes and comparison with others. <p>Therefore</p> <p>After the author has done everything required to review and correct in this article, as stated in the previous report and also written above in this report, the article has become suitable for publication in this journal.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- We have revised the title according to the suggestions made by a peer. 2- We take note. 3- We take note 4- We have reworted abstract to include the aim of this study 5- The aim of this article is to valorise <i>Phaseolus lunatus</i>, which is little consumed, by improving its nutrients, reducing its antinutrients and improving its antioxidant potential by means of controlled fermentation with <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> in order to make it an ingredient. To do this, we determined the impact of fermentation with <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> on the macronutrients, minerals, antinutrients and anti-DPPH potential of Lima seed flour. Finally, we have removed from the article the evolution of total phenolic compounds and total flavonoids as well as the correlation between phenolic compounds, flavonoids and anti-DPPH activity. 6- we have added fermentation time in method and analysis 7- We have rearranged the subheadings of the results in line with those of the methods. 8- the text in red color has been revised and corrected 9- the results and discussion have been expanded in detail with other studies relating aim of our study 10- New modern references have been added. They have been revised. We have conformed to the journal's format for writing references. 11- language and spelling errors have been corrected 12- We have adhered strictly to the journal's system for standardising and editing texts, structure and references.