

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_127031
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Bread Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Varieties for lowland areas of Ethiopia and registration of the “Asgori” variety
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is scientifically conducted, and it is useful to develop high-yielding wheat varieties against climate change and evaluation of trails conducted in multi trails. The study is useful for the scientific community to develop resilient varieties	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , add some more keywords	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes , in materials and methods include the details of disease test. And clarify the mentioned varirty is disease resistant or disease tolerant one..	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Some typographical corrections can be rectified The manuscript is well written helpful to the scientific community	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes , but include some more recent years references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noru Raja Sekhar Reddy
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India