

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_125425
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Students' Engagements in Virtual Resources: Impacts of Localization of PhET Interactive Simulations in Indigenous Nigerian Language
Type of the Article	Quantitative

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article addresses important issue related to engagement of learners for mathematics learning. Some students find mathematics as difficult and feel demotivated therefore, its good attempt to measure engagement and its relationship with achievement is established.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Method section may be elaborated more.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It seems good, there is no need for purpose statement after giving problem statement and developing hypotheses. So, it is suggested that clear objectives may be given.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is a mention of mixed method, but to me its quantitative study. So careful revisit of method section is recommended.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall it seems good.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Tanveer Afzal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Allama Iqbal Open University, Pakistan</b>