

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128174
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL DOMAIN IN RELATION TO DIDACTIC TEACHING DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is not bad because it outlines all the variables considered under the study, however, I am of the view that it may not entice readers. I therefore propose two topics, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Role of Social-Emotional Competence in Enhancing Didactic Teaching Development Among Public Elementary School Teachers 2. Integrating Social-Emotional Learning into Professional Development Programs for Didactic Teaching in Public Elementary Schools 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good. It captures the entirety of the manuscript in few words.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The topic of the manuscript is directed at elementary school however, the researcher stated that he/she initially sought permission and endorsement from the Dean of the Graduate School of Rizal Memorial Colleges to secure the Superintendent's approval. I find this odd unless otherwise the researcher is a graduate student, but even that, there is no definite relationship between the two.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The tense should be simple past but not future tense.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The tense should be past since the work has been completed. The conceptual framework did not show any relationship between the independent and dependent variables; hence the hypotheses cannot be established at a glance. Moreover, there was no write up on the conceptual framework, making it difficult to understand.</p> <p>This is not how to report P-value in correlation, it is depicted with *, **, or *** to show the levels of significance at either 0.1, 0.05 or 0.01 confidence intervals.</p> <p>The variables in the conceptual framework are to be used for the correlation but that was not the case, why?</p> <p>There was no table showing Regression Analysis that was to be used to identify whether the socio-emotional domain significantly influenced the didactic teaching development of teachers.</p> <p>The author should check the analysis and if possible, redo with correlational table showing the level of significance only then can the manuscript become better.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Christabel Sakpata Ewedji</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Regional Maritime University, Ghana</p>